

By: CA. Kamal Garg [B. Com (H), FCA, DISA (ICAI)] [Insolvency Professional]

Common areas in Companies Act generally overlooked by Statutory Auditors



Extract of one of the Clause from AOA

- (i) The Board may, before recommending any dividend, set aside out of the profits of the company such sums as it thinks fit as a reserve or reserves which shall, at the discretion of the Board, be applicable for any purpose to which the profits of the company may be properly applied, including provision for meeting contingencies or for equalising dividends; and pending such application, may, at the like discretion, either be employed in the business of the company or be invested in such investments (other than shares of the company) as the Board may, from time to time, thinks fit.
- (ii) The Board may also carry forward any profits which it may consider necessary not to divide, without setting them aside as a reserve.

What Companies Act, 2013 says

- **Board's report to state** the following:
 - a) the amounts, if any, which it proposes to carry to any reserves [Section 134(3)(j)];
 - b) the amount, if any, which it recommends should be paid by way of dividend [Section 134(3)(k)]
- A company **may**, before the declaration of any dividend in any financial year, transfer such percentage of its profits for that financial year as it may consider **appropriate to the reserves** of the company [First Proviso to Section 123(1)]

Areas not to be overlooked

- Check and document the relevant minutes of the Board Meeting to corroborate whether any dividends are recommended or not
- If not then check whether notes to account mention the fact the BOD has decided to carry forward the profits to the next year



Case Study

- RST (P) Limited was incorporated on 28th December, 2022
- The subscribers undertook to bring in Rs. 5,00,000 towards the subscription money in terms of Section 10A of the Companies Act, 2013
- The amount was not received as at 31st March, 2023
- How should this be reflected in the financial statements of RST (P) Limited for the FY 2022-2023
- What is the auditor's responsibility if:
 - a) The amount was still not received, and the audit report was signed on 18th June, 2023;
 - b) The amount was still not received, and the audit report was signed on 18th July, 2023

What does Companies Act say

- In case of company having share capital, after obtaining certificate of incorporation but before commencement of business, company is required to submit declaration in Form INC-20A that every subscriber to the memorandum has paid the value of the shares agreed to be taken by him on the date of making of such declaration - section 10A of Companies Act, 2013 inserted vide the Companies (Amendment) Act, 2019 w.r.e.f. 2-11-2018;
- "Paid up Share Capital" or "share Capital Paid-up" means such aggregate amount of money credited as paid-up as is equivalent to the amount received as paid-up in respect of shares issued and <u>also</u> <u>includes any amount credited as paid-up in respect of shares of the</u> <u>company</u>, but does not include any other amount received in respect of such shares, by whatever name called – Section 2(64)
- Situation may occur when subscribers to the MOA fail to pay subscription money as agreed by them in MOA.

Areas not to be overlooked

- Company has to issue share certificate within a period of 2 months of incorporation of the company irrespective of the fact that subscription money is received or not – Section 56.
- Further, paid up share capital means 'any amount credit as paid up.' In this situation, company can credit the subscription money not received as paid up in the Balance Sheet of the company, which is still receivable.
- If the Company fails to file Form INC 20A within 180 days from the date of Incorporation, the RoC may initiate action for removal of the name of the Company - Section 10A(3) & 248(1)(d) ~ Going Concern Matter (SA 570)
- Calls can be made only by a resolution by Board of Directors at meeting section 179(3)(a). Subject to AOA the company can collect interest if call money is paid late
- In case of where subscription money not received has been credited as paid-up then as per Schedule III the amount due from the subscriber should be appropriately disclosed in the balance sheet.



Applicability

- Not Applicable to Private Company, if:
 - i. Its not a subsidiary or holding of a Public Co.; AND
 - ii. (Paid up Capital + Reserves & Surplus) <= Rs. 1 crore as on Balance Sheet Date; <u>AND</u>
 - iii. Total Borrowings from Banks/ F.I. <= Rs. 1 crore at any point of time during the financial year; <u>AND</u>
 - iv. Total Revenue (including revenue from discontinuing operations) under Schedule III <= Rs. 10 crores during the financial year as per the financial statements

Applicability

- Not Applicable to Small Company [Sec. 2(85)]: a Company having:
 a) Paid up share capital < 50 lakhs; and
 b) Turnover as per preceding year P & L < 2 cr.

 - Following do not qualify as a Small Company:

 - a) Public company;
 b) Holding or a subsidiary company;
 c) Section 8 Company;
 d) Co. or body corporate governed by any special Act

Note 1: Rule 2(1)(t) as inserted by the Companies (Specification of Definitions Details) Amendment Rule, 2021, w.e.f. 1-4-2021:

- a) Paid up share capital < 2 cr.; and
 b) Turnover as per preceding year P & L < 20 cr.

Note 2: Rule 2(1)(t) as amended by the Companies (Specification of Definitions Details) Amendment Rule, 2022, w.e.f. 15-9-2022:

- a) Paid up share capital < 4 cr.; and
 b) Turnover as per preceding year P & L < 40 cr.

Particulars for XYZ (P) Limited	2022-2023	2021-2022
Paid-up Capital	48 Lakhs	48 Lakhs
Reserves and Surplus	5 Lakhs	3 Lakhs
Tota	53 Lakhs	51 Lakhs
Borrowings from Banks/ F.I.	3 Cr.	3 Cr.
Total Revenue/ Turnover	35 Cr.	30 Cr.
CARO Applicable	?	Yes
Reason	?	



Applicability

- The auditor's report shall also state whether the company has **adequate internal financial controls with reference to financial statements** in place and the operating effectiveness of such controls [Section 143(3)(i)]
- Non-applicability: In case of private companies, section 143(3)(i) shall not apply to:
 - one person company; or
 - **small company**; or
 - **private company** which has:
 - a) turnover < Rs. 50 crores as per latest audited financial statement;
 <u>and</u>
 - b) aggregate borrowings from banks or financial institutions or any body corporate at any point of time during the FY < Rs. 25 crores Notification No. GSR 464(E), dated 5-6-2015, as amended by, Notification No. GSR No. 583(E), dated 13-6-2017.




What does Companies Act say

- Auditor need to inquire whether transactions of the company which are represented merely by book entries are prejudicial to the interests of the company – Section 143(1)(b);
- The purpose of book entries is to correctly record transactions which have, in fact, taken place. If a book entry is passed which is not in accordance with the facts of the transaction, or is contrary thereto, this should be set right or reported upon by the auditor. Again, if book entries are passed purporting to record "transactions" which have, in fact, not taken place, similar considerations would apply – ICAI Guidance

Areas not to be overlooked

- There may be situations in case of Goods and Services tax, where the vendor has not shown the amount of Goods and services tax paid to him in his statement/return but the company has appropriate justifications for considering those payments made as input tax credit in the books of account and consequently adjusted the same in the books of account against its Goods and services tax liability;
- Section 143(1)(b) is intended to cover transactions of the company for which the only evidence, or the principal evidence, is the entry regarding the transactions in the books of account. In such cases, the auditor should inquire whether such transactions have in fact taken place and, if so, whether they are prejudicial to the interests of the company ICAI Guidance



Audit Requirements

AS 18	CARO
Related party transaction: a transfer of resources or obligations between related parties, regardless of <u>whether or not a price is</u> charged.	• Whether all transactions with the related parties are in compliance

What does Companies Act say

- A company can enter into specified related party transaction only with approval of Board - Section 188(1);
- Nothing in this sub-section shall apply to any transactions entered into by the company in its ordinary course of business which are on an arm's length basis - 4th Proviso
- Specified related party transactions:
 - sale, purchase or supply of any goods or materials
 - selling or otherwise disposing of, or buying, property of any kind
 - leasing of property of any kind
 - availing or rendering of any services
 - appointment of any agent for purchase or sale of goods, materials, services or property
 - such related party's appointment to any office or place of profit
 - underwriting the subscription of any securities or derivatives

What does AS 18 say

- There is a general presumption that transactions reflected in F.S. are consummated on an arm's length basis between independent parties. However, transactions between related parties may not be effected at the same terms and conditions as between unrelated parties. Sometimes, no price is charged in related party transactions, e.g.: free provision of management services and the extension of free credit on a debt – Para 16.
- **Examples** of related party transactions Para 24:
 - purchases or sales of goods (finished or unfinished)
 - purchases or sales of fixed assets
 - rendering or receiving of services
 - agency arrangements
 - leasing or hire purchase arrangements
 - transfer of research and development
 - finance (including loans/ equity contributions in cash/ kind)
 - guarantees and collaterals

Areas not to be overlooked

- Assess whether a related party transaction is in ordinary course of business
- Evaluate management's representations that related party transactions are at arm's length by testing whether prices charged are at arm's length;
- Be careful about the transactions which are in scope of AS 18 but not in scope of Section 188



Case Study

RST (P) Limited Equity and Liabilities (Extract)	As at 31.3.2023 (in Lakhs)	As at 31.3.2022 (in Lakhs)
Share application money pending allotment (money was received through Banking channels on 15 th January, 2022)	100	100

Question:

What are the reporting considerations for the statutory auditor to be considered for the FY ending on 31st March, 2023.

What does Companies Act say

- A company making shall allot its securities within 60 days from the date of receipt of the application money.
- If the company is not able to allot the securities within that period, it shall repay the application money to the subscribers within 15 days from the date of completion of 60 days.
- If the company fails to repay the application money within the aforesaid period, it shall be liable to repay that money with interest @12% p.a. from the expiry of 60th day Section 42(6).
- If the securities for which application money or advance for such securities was received cannot be allotted within 60 days from the date of receipt of the application money and such application money is not refunded to the subscribers within 15 days from the date of completion of 60 days, such amount shall be treated as a deposit Rule 2(1)(c)(vii) of Companies (Acceptance of Deposits) Rules, 2014

What does CARO say


Para 3(v)

deposits, whether the directives preferential allotment or issued by the Reserve Bank of India placement of shares or fully or partly and the provisions of sections 73 to convertible debentures during the 76 or any other relevant provisions year under review and if so, as to of the Companies Act, 2013 and the whether the requirement of section rules framed thereunder, where 42 of the Companies Act, 2013 applicable, have been complied with? If not, the nature of such amount raised have been used for the contraventions be stated

in case, the company has accepted whether the company has made any private have been complied with and the purposes for which the funds were raised. If not, provide the details in respect of the amount involved and nature of non-compliance

Para 3(xiv)

Disqualification of Directors – Section 164(2)





Comparative read through

Proviso to Rule 3(1) w.e.f. 1 st April, 2023	Rule 11(g) w.e.f. 1 st April, 2022	
for the financial year commencing on or after the	in respect of financial years commencing on or	
1st day of April, 2023	after the 1st April, 2022	
every company which uses accounting software for	has used such accounting software for maintaining	
maintaining its books of account , shall use only	its books of account	
such accounting software		
which has a feature of recording audit trail of each	which has a feature of recording audit trail (edit log)	
and every transaction, creating an edit log	facility and the same has been operated	
	throughout the year for all transactions recorded	
	in the software and	
of each change made in books of account along	?	
with the date when such changes were made and		
ensuring that the audit trail cannot be disabled	the audit trail feature has not been tampered with	
	and	
?	the audit trail has been preserved by the company	
	as per the statutory requirements for record	
	retention	

- Rule 11(g) for FY 2022-2023
- Case 1 ~ company client is voluntary using audit trail compliant software since long
- On the basis of information and explanation given to us, we report that the company is using audit trail compliant software which operated throughout the year and the audit trail was not tampered. However, we further report that the mandatory usage of audit trail compliant software by the company is applicable w.e.f. 1st April, 2023 only
- Case 2 ~ company is yet to use such audit trail compliant software
- Since the mandatory usage of audit trail compliant software by the company is applicable w.e.f. 1st April, 2023 only, we as auditors are of the opinion that reporting under Rule 11(g) of the Company (Audit and Auditors) Rules, 2014 is not tenable for the FY 2022-2023



Current Liabilities (face)

- a) Short-term borrowings
- b) Trade Payables:
 - A. total outstanding dues of micro enterprises and small enterprises; and
 - B. total outstanding dues of creditors other than micro enterprises and small enterprises
- c) Other current liabilities
- d) Short-term provisions

Clause 6(FA): Trade Payables (Notes)

- a) the **principal amount and the interest due thereon** (to be shown separately) remaining unpaid to any supplier at the end of each accounting year
- b) the amount of **interest paid by the buyer in terms of section 16** of the MSMED Act, 2006, along with the amount of the payment made to the supplier beyond the appointed day during each accounting year
- c) the amount of interest due and payable for the period of delay in making payment (which have been paid but beyond the appointed day during the year) but without adding the interest specified under the MSMED, 2006;
- d) the amount of **interest accrued and remaining unpaid** at the end of each accounting year; and
- e) the amount of **further interest remaining due and payable even in the succeeding years**, until such date when the interest dues above are actually paid to the small enterprise, for the purpose of disallowance of a deductible expenditure under section 23 of the MSMED Act, 2006

Delayed Payments to Micro And Small Enterprises [Chapter V]

- Liability of buyer to make payment: the buyer shall make payment on or before the date agreed upon between him and the supplier in writing or, where there is no agreement in this behalf, before the appointed day. In no case the period agreed upon between the supplier and the buyer in writing shall exceed 45 days from the day of acceptance or the day of deemed acceptance [Section 15];
- Rate at which interest is payable: Where any buyer fails to make payment of the amount as abovesaid, then he shall pay compound interest with monthly rests at three times of the bank rate notified by the Reserve Bank [Section 16];
- Note:
- Defaulting Buyer not liable to pay interest at 3 times bank rate if supplier is a medium enterprise ~ Calcutta HC in The New India Assurance Co. Ltd. v Winsome International Ltd. (5th July 2023)

Appointed Date

- "appointed day" means the day following immediately after the expiry of the period of fifteen days from the day of acceptance or the day of deemed acceptance of any goods or any services by a buyer from a supplier ~ Section 2(b) of MSMED Act;
- "the day of acceptance" means,-
 - the day of the actual delivery of goods or the rendering of services; or
 - where any objection is made in writing by the buyer regarding acceptance of goods or services within fifteen days from the day of the delivery of goods or the rendering of services, the day on which such objection is removed by the supplier
- "the day of deemed acceptance" means where no objection is made in writing by the buyer regarding acceptance of goods or services within fifteen days from the day of the delivery of goods or the rendering of services, the day of the actual delivery of goods or the rendering of services

Enterprise – all are not MSMEs

 "enterprise" means an industrial undertaking or a business concern or any other establishment, by whatever name called, engaged in the manufacture or production of goods, in any manner, pertaining to any industry specified in the First Schedule to the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 or engaged in providing or rendering of any service or services – Section 2(e)

> No. 5/2(2)/2021-E/P & G/Policy (E-19025) Government of India Ministry of Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises (Policy Division)

> > 710, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi Dated:02.07.2021

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject: Activities (NIC code) under MSMED Act, 2006 for Udyam Registration –Addition of Retail and Wholesale Trade- regarding

This Ministry's O.M. No. UAM/MC/01/2017-SME dated 27.06.2017 on the subject 'Activities (NIC codes) not covered under MSMED Act, 2006 for registration of Udyog Aadhaar Memorandum (UAM)' excluded certain activities from registration on UAM Portal. This O.M. was further validated for Udyam Registration vide O.M. no. 5/2(1)/2020-P&G/Policy dated 17.07.2020. Certain changes were made vide 5/2(1)/2020/E-P&G/Policy dated 01.12.2020; where it was clarified that in Table. 1 of O.M. no. UAM/MC/01/2017-SME dated 27.06.2017, NIC codes 45, 46 and 47 and the activities mentioned against these NIC codes, are not permitted for registration in Udyam Registration Portal (https://udyamregistration.gov.in).

 The Government has received various representations and it has been decided to include Retail and wholesale trades as MSMEs and they are allowed to be registered on Udyam Registration Portal. However, benefits to Retail and Wholesale trade MSMEs are to be restricted to Priority Sector Lending only.

3. Accordingly, the list of eligible additional activities under NIC Code 45, 46 and 47 are as under :

45	Wholesale and retail trade and repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	
46	Wholesale trade except of motor vehicles and motor cycles	
47	Retail Trade Except of Motor Vehicles and motor cycles	

Pre-amendment	Post-amendment					
Trade payables due for payment – General Instructions – Para 6FB						
NIL	The following ageing schedule shall be given for Trade payables due for payment:—					
	Particulars	Trade Payables ageing schedule (Amount in Rs.)				
		Outstanding for following periods from due date of payment#				
		Less than 1 year	1-2 years	2-3 years	More than 3 years	Total
	(i) MSME (ii) Others (iii) Disputed dues – MSME (iv) Disputed dues – Others					

Dispute

- Section 5(6) of IBC, 2016 states that "dispute" includes a suit or arbitration proceedings relating to—
 - the existence of the amount of debt;
 - the quality of goods or service; or
 - the breach of a representation or warranty


Legal Requirements as to compliance with Accounting Standards under Companies Act, 2013

The financial statements shall give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the company or companies, comply with the accounting standards notified under section 133 and shall be in the form or forms as may be provided for different class or classes of companies in Schedule III – Section 129(1)

Auditor to report whether, in his opinion, the financial statements comply with the accounting standards – Section 143(3)(e)

Companies (Accounting Standards) Rules, 2021

- Total AS Notified = AS 1 to AS 29
- **Omitted** = AS 6, AS 8, AS 10
- Introduced = AS 10 (Revised) = AS 6 (Old) + AS 10 (Old) + Some new principles



Small and Medium Size Company (SMC)

- Listing: whose equity or debt securities are not listed or are not in the process of listing on any stock exchange, whether in India or outside India;
- Financial Sector: which is not a bank, financial institution (u/s 2(39)) or an insurance company;
- Turnover: whose turnover (excluding other income)
 Rs. 250 [50] crore in the immediately preceding accounting year;
- Borrowings: which have borrowings (including public deposits) ≤ Rs. 50 [10] crore at any time during the immediately preceding accounting year; and
- Holding-Subsi.: which is not a holding or subsidiary company of a company which is not a SMC

as at the end of the relevant accounting period – Rule 2(1)(e) of Companies (AS) Rules, 2021 [2006] applicable w.e.f. 1.4.2021 [till 31.3.2021]

Caution

- Periodical validity of exemptions: An existing company, which was previously not a SMC and subsequently becomes an SMC, shall not be qualified for exemption or relaxation in respect of Accounting Standards available to an SMC until the company remains an SMC for two consecutive accounting periods.
- Comparatives: The corresponding period of the previous accounting period need not be revised merely by reason of its having ceased to be an SMC. The fact that the company was an SMC in the previous period and it had availed of the exemptions or relaxations available to SMCs shall be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.
- Availing Exemptions on Selective basis: If an SMC opts not to avail of the exemptions or relaxations available to an SMC in respect of any but not all of the Accounting Standards, it shall disclose the standard(s) in respect of which it has availed the exemption or relaxation

Exemptions to SMCs under various AS




Disclosure if Exemptions are availed

 "The Company is a Small and Medium Sized Company (SMC) as defined in the Companies (Accounting Standards) Rules, 2021 notified under the Companies Act, 2013. Accordingly, the Company has complied with the Accounting Standards as applicable to a Small and Medium Sized Company."

AS	Exemptions
AS 3: Cash Flow Statement	Whole AS
AS 17: Segment Reporting	Whole AS
AS 29: Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets	 Exemption from following disclosures: Movement in balances for each class of provisions; brief description of the nature of the obligation, indication of the uncertainties, and expected reimbursement
AS 20: Earning Per Share	Exemption from disclosure of diluted earnings per share (both including and excluding extraordinary items)

AS	Exemptions			
AS 19: Leases	 For both lessor and lessee – for both finance lease and operating lease, as the case may be: There is no need to disclose: maturity analysis of minimum lease payments; general description of the significant leasing 			
	arrangements			

AS	Exemptions
AS 15: Employee Benefits	 No need to recognise and measure expected cost of short-term accumulating compensated absences in respect of which employees are not entitled to cash payment for unused entitlement on leaving; No need to discount contributions that fall due more than 12 months after the balance sheet date. Caution: For Small and Medium sized Companies (SMC), Detailed Disclosure are exempted, though provision is required as per "Actuarial Valuation PUCM Method".
AS 28: Impairment of Assets	 Impairment Loss = Recoverable Amount (-) Carrying Amount Recoverable Amount = higher of asset's NSP or VIU VIU (general) = PV of estimated future cash flows from the continuing use of an asset and from its disposal at the end of its useful life VIU (SMC) = PV of such estimated future cash flows or reasonable estimate thereof



Question 1: Cash and Cash Equivalents

- Deposits with original maturity of three months or less only should be classified as cash equivalents ~ AS 3;
- Under AS 3 Bank balances held as margin money or security against borrowings are neither in the nature of demand deposits, nor readily available for use by the company, and accordingly, do not meet the aforesaid definition of cash equivalents;
- Under Schedule III Cash and Cash Equivalents also comprise of:

"Balances with banks held as margin money or security against borrowings, guarantees, etc. and bank deposits with more than 12 months maturity."

Cash and Cash Equivalents

• Question: How to deal with this apparent conflict between the requirements of the Schedule III and the AS with respect to which items should form part of Cash and cash equivalents

• Answer:

- 1) AS would prevail over the Schedule III;
- 2) Company to make necessary modifications in the F.S.;
- 3) Accordingly, the conflict should be resolved by changing the caption "Cash and Cash Equivalents" to "Cash and Bank Balances," which may have two sub-headings:
 - a) "Cash and Cash Equivalents" and
 - b) "Other Bank Balances."
- 4) The former should include only the items that constitute Cash and cash equivalents defined in accordance with AS 3 (and not the Schedule III), while the remaining line-items may be included under the latter heading

Question 2: Earning Per Share

Para 15 of Accounting Standard 20, "Earnings Per Share", requires that <u>BEPS should be calculated by dividing net profit for the</u> <u>period attributable to the equity shareholders by weighted</u> <u>average number of equity shares outstanding during the period</u>.

From the above Para 15 of AS 20, an entity should use weighted average number of equity shares outstanding during the period. It can't use number of equity shares outstanding either at the beginning or at the end of the year, <u>except when there is no increase/</u><u>decrease in equity share capital during the period</u>.

Referring to above, in the instant case Company Z has not computed BEPS correctly.

- RST Limited
- 1st April, 2022 Equity Shares 10,000 shares
- 1st Oct, 2022 fresh issue 1,000 shares (additionally issued)
- 31st March, 2023 outstanding equity shares 11,000 shares
- PAT = Rs. 1,10,000
- BEPS = 1,10,000/ 11,000 = Rs. 10 = is it correct ? = no
- Weighted Avg. Number of Equity Shares = [(10,000 (x) 12/12) + (1,000 (x) 6/12)] = '10,500' number of shares
- BEPS = 1,10,000/ '10,500' = Rs. 10.48 = this is correct position as per AS 20

Question 3: Interest u/s 234B and 234C

Short payment of advance tax installments invites levy of interest under sections 234B and 234C of the Income Tax Act. The company has following questions in regard to the classification of interest levied u/s 234B and 234C of the Income Tax Act: Tax Act:

Should the company classify interest u/s 234B and 234C as tax expense in the financial statements

Is such interest an 'extraordinary item' in accordance with AS 5

Question 4: Forex Gain

As per **Para 13 of AS 11** provides that exchange differences arising on settlement of monetary items or on subsequent recognition of monetary items at the end of the accounting period should be recognised as income or expense.

Thus, A Ltd. is correct in treatment of exchange gains other than exchange gains on sales transactions. Exchange gains on sales transactions transactions should not be included in sales revenue, it should be included in other income

Question 5: Cash Flow Statement

In the cash flow statement an entity has categorised its cash flows during a particular period into four categories, which are, Cash flow from Operating Activities, Cash flow From Investing Activities, Cash Flow from Financing Activities and Cash flow from Other Activities.

Whether the entity has categorised its cash flows correctly as per AS 3, Cash Flow Statements?

Particulars	Amount (Rs.)	Amount (Rs.)
Net Profit before Tax	1,000	
Non-cash items and working capital adjustments	(100)	
Cash from Operating Activities (A)		900
Cash from Investment Activities (B)		500
Cash from Financing Activities (C)		(200)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents [(A) + (B) + (C)]		1,200
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period (D)		1,800
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period (E)		3,100
Changes during year on account of (D) and (E)		1,300

Particulars	Amount (Rs.)	Amount (Rs.)
Net Profit before Tax	1,000	
Non-cash items and working capital adjustments	(100)	
Cash from Operating Activities		900
Cash from Investment Activities		500
Cash from Financing Activities		(200)
Cash from Other Activities		100
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		1,300
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		1,800
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period		3,100

Question 6: Related Party Disclosures

As per Para 23 of AS 18, "Related Party Transactions", if there have been transactions with related parties, during the existence of a related party relationship, <u>the entity should</u> <u>disclose a description of the relationship between the</u> parties.

In the instant case, C Ltd. has disclosed description of relationship only in case of transactions with KMP and their relatives, but has not disclosed the type of relationship in case of transactions with other related parties. Therefore, related party transactions in this case have not been properly disclosed.


Question 7: Govt. Grants related to revenue and clubbing with sales

A company (say Company X) is engaged in the business of manufacturing and selling of tea. During the previous financial year it had received subsidy on fertilisers from the government. While preparing financial statements for previous year, X has clubbed the amount of subsidy with revenue of that year.

Is accounting treatment of governmental subsidy correct?

- As per Para 15 of AS 12, "Accounting for Government Grants", Government grants related to revenue should be recognised on a systematic basis in the profit and loss statement over the periods necessary to match them with the related costs which they are intended to compensate. Such grants should either be shown separately under 'other income' or deducted in reporting the related expense.
- Therefore, the company X should disclose the subsidy on fertilisers as other income or should net off the amount of subsidy from the expenditure incurred on purchase of fertilisers.

Auditing Standards w.r.t. Audit Reports



Legal and Professional Requirements

Auditor shall comply with the auditing standards – Section 143(9);

Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949 states that a member in practice guilty of professional misconduct, if he:

- **discloses information** acquired in the course of his professional engagement;
- certifies or submits a report of an examination of financial statements without examination of such statements and the related records;
- fails to disclose a material fact;
- fails to report a material misstatement;
- is grossly negligent in the conduct of his professional duties;
- fails to obtain sufficient information necessary for expression of an opinion;
- fails to invite attention to any material departure from the generally accepted procedure of audit

Authority Attached to Standards on Auditing and General Clarifications

- Standards issued by AASB under the Authority of the Council of ICAI;
- Statements on Auditing are issued with a view to securing compliance by professional accountants on matters which, in the opinion of the Council, are critical for the proper discharge of their functions. Statements are, therefore, mandatory;
- It is the duty of the professional accountants to ensure that the Standards/Statements/General Clarifications are followed in the engagements undertaken by them – Para 11 of "Preface to Standards on Quality Control, Auditing, Review, Other Assurance and Related Services effective from April 1, 2008"

Certain Matters w.r.t. Audit Report to be looked into by the Auditors

Auditor has <u>signed the Auditor's</u> report prior to the date when the Financial Statements were signed and authenticated by the director of the company.


Auditor has mentioned his membership number with prefix "F" in Auditor's Report SA-700 (Para-26) Since the Auditor's responsibility is to report on the Financial Statements as prepared and presented by the management, the auditor should not date the report earlier than that the date on which the Financial Statements are signed or approved by management.

SA-700 (Para-28) Neither Institute allots Membership Number to its members with any prefix like "F" or "A" nor SA-700 permits the use of such prefixes with the membership number in the Auditor's Report. Abstract of Auditor's Report: "For XYZ & Associates Chartered Accountants (ABC) Partner Membership No. 00000" It may be noted that footnote 26 given with reference to Paragraph A36 of SA 700 (Revised), Forming an Opinion and Reporting on Financial Statements, inter alia, provides that in addition to the other requirements relating to signature on the audit report, as prescribed under the relevant Standard on Auditing, the registration number of the firm as allotted by ICAI should be stated in the audit reports signed by them.

In opinion and to the best of our information and according the to explanation given to us, the said accounts together with the notes thereon give the information required by the Banking Regulations Act, 1949 as well as Companies Act, 2013, in the manner so required for the banking companies and give a true and fair view in conformity with the accounting principals generally accepted in India: In the case of the Profit and Loss Account of the profit/ loss for the year ended on that date;"

It was noted from the Auditors' Report that the auditor has expressed opinion 'profit/loss'. It was further noted that in the Opinion Paragraph of Appendix to SA 700, 'Forming an opinion and Reporting on Financial Statements', the phrase 'profit/loss' has been used while expressing the opinion. It was viewed that in appendix, such phrase has been used to indicate the situations when the given format may be used. However, in practice the enterprise earns either the profit or incurs loss, therefore, the auditor should express his opinion either on profit or loss, as case may be. A reader may get confused on reading 'profit/ loss' which should be avoided.

The Auditor has given his report within the paragraphs stated in SA 700 <u>but without using</u> <u>the headings</u> for respective paragraphs.



It was noted that Standard on Auditing (SA) 700 (Revised), Forming an Opinion and Reporting on Financial Statements is applicable from the periods beginning on or after April 1, 2018. Further, as per the illustrative format of Auditor's Reports on Financial Statements an auditor is required to present the audit report duly divided under different headings viz. 'Opinion', 'Management's and TCWG responsibility for the financial statements', 'Auditor's Responsibility', 'Report on other legal and regulatory requirements. It was noted that the given financial statements pertain to financial year 2018-19, however, the heading of different paragraphs defining separate elements of report have not been given as prescribed under SA 700

"AUDITORS' REPORT

The Bank of

• • • • • • • • • •

.

Report on the Financial Statements Management's Responsibility

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements It was noted that Paragraph 21 of SA 700, Forming an Opinion and Reporting on Financial Statements, reads as follows:

"21. <u>The auditor's report shall have a</u> <u>title that clearly indicates that it is the</u> <u>report of an independent auditor.</u>"

It was noted that auditor has given report without stating <u>whether it is</u> <u>an independent report</u> or otherwise as per the requirements of SA 700. Abstract of Signature in Auditor's Report given in the Annual Report:

"For and on behalf of" XYZ & Associates

It was noted that auditor's report as well as report on CARO has been signed on behalf of the firm. It was viewed that the report should be signed by the auditor in his personal name. Where the firm is appointed as the auditor, <u>the report is</u> <u>signed in personal name of the auditor.</u>

Accordingly, the signatures of Auditor were considered to be not in accordance with the requirements of under SA 700.

Paragraph (1) of auditor's report reads as under:

"We have audited the attached Balance Sheet of XYZ Limited as at March 31, 2012 and the <u>related</u> Profit and Loss Account and the Cash Flow Statement for the year ended on that date all of which we have signed under reference to this report. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit (emphasis added)." It was noted from the auditor's report that the auditor has referred to Statement of profit and loss as "related Profit and Loss Account". It was viewed that there is no such term either in the Companies Act or other related regulations.

Hence, <u>it was viewed that usage of such</u> <u>terms should be avoided.</u> Opening paragraphs of the Auditor's Report states "<u>examined</u> the attached Balance Sheet..."

In the Auditor's Report, no reference was made to the <u>Cash</u> <u>Flow Statement</u> in opening paragraph and opinion paragraph. Term 'examined' signifies wider function than the actual responsibility of the auditor. Auditor should have used the word '<u>audited</u>' rather than using the word 'examined' to reflect his correct responsibility.

SA-700 (Para-9) The Auditor's Report should <u>identify the Cash</u> <u>Flow Statement as a part of</u> <u>Financial Statements</u> and further, also express an opinion on the Cash Flow Statement audited. Although the Auditors have Qualified their report with regards to <u>non-compliance of certain</u> <u>Accounting Standards, they have</u> <u>omitted to report the qualification</u> of the possible effect either individually or in aggregate. With regards to SA-700, it is viewed that, while expressing opinions other than unqualified, the auditor should report the reasons for such opinion and should also report the quantitative impact of such on the financial statements of each, individually as well as their aggregate. Where it is not practicable to quantify the same, auditor must quantify the same based on estimates provided by the management.



"We have audited the attached Balance Sheet of X Ltd. as at 31st March, xxxx and Profit & Loss Account for the year ended on that date annexed thereto.<u>The</u> <u>Financial Statements are the</u> <u>responsibility of the company's</u> <u>management"</u>. Para 33 and 37 of SA-700 (R) requires the auditor to state the responsibility of management towards the Financial Statement along with their own responsibility to express an opinion on the Financial Statements based on Audit. This needs to be reported under two separate headings namely:

1. Responsibilities of Management and TCWG; and

2. Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

An unqualified opinion given referring to the following comment: "In our opinion, the Balance Sheet, the Profit & Loss Account, the Cash Flow Statement dealt with by this report <u>comply with the</u> <u>Accounting Standards referred to in</u> <u>section 133 of the Companies Act, 2013".</u>

Auditor often omit to state whether the statements prepared are in conformity with the Financial Reporting Framework and statutory requirements relevant to the company. From the Annual Report of the Company, there were many noncompliance of Accounting Standards, but still the auditors have given a Clean Report.

SA-700 requires that the Opinion Para of the Auditor's Report should clearly indicate <u>the FRF</u> <u>used to prepare the F/S and state</u> <u>the auditor's opinion as to whether</u> <u>the F/S gives true & fair view in</u> <u>accordance with FRF and, where</u> <u>appropriate, whether</u> the F/S comply with the Statutory Requirements – see illustration in next slide.

• Qualified Opinion

- We have audited the standalone financial statements of ABC Company Limited ("the Company"), which comprise the balance sheet as at March 31, 20XX, and the statement of Profit and Loss, and the statement of cash flows for the year then ended, and notes to the financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information (in which are included the Returns for the year ended on that date audited by the branch auditors of the Company's branches located at (location of branches)).
- In our opinion and to the best of our information and according to the explanations given to us, except for the effects of the matter described in the Basis for Qualified Opinion section of our report, the aforesaid financial statements give the information required by the Companies Act 2013 in the manner so required and give a true and fair view in conformity with the accounting principles generally accepted in India, of the state of affairs of the Company as at March 31st, 20XX and its profits and its cash flows for the year ended on that date.

The Auditor has expressed opinion on the Balance Sheet, Profit & Loss Account as well as on the accounts of the Company <u>'subject</u> to a note 'x' of notes to account' <u>stating a change in the Accounting</u> <u>Policy of Depreciation method.</u>

When the auditor expresses a qualified opinion, it would not be appropriate to use phrase such as "subject to" in the Opinion section as these are not sufficiently clear or forceful – Para A20 of SA 705(R)

> Membership no. of auditor was mentioned in Audit Report, but not in CARO, Balance Sheet, Statement of Profit & Loss.

'subject to' is improper although the company has made sufficient disclosure of change in Accounting Policy. Further, since the auditor is agreement with not in the for change management in Depreciation method, in that case, 'subject matter of qualification' is ambiguous and basis of opinion should be provided properly with financial impact to the extent practicable

SA-700(Para-28)Thepartner/proprietor signing the auditreportshouldcompulsorilymentiontheMembershipNo.assignedby ICAI and also theFirmRegistrationNo. to be mentioned.

Report was not addressed to anyone.

SA-700 (Para-8) The auditor's report should be appropriately addressed as required by the circumstances of engagement and applicable Laws & Regulations. Ordinarily, the auditor's report is addressed to the authority, appointing the auditor (Under the Companies Act 2013, audit report on CFS will be addressed to members, earlier it was addressed to Board of Directors.)

Matters to be looked into for CARO

The <u>management has conducted</u> <u>physical</u> verification of the fixed assets during the year and <u>"we are</u> <u>informed that discrepancies</u> <u>noticed</u> <u>were not material</u>". The use of words "We are facie informed that", prima creates an impression that no documentary evidence was available to substantiate the verification and that the auditor has wholly relied on management's representation. However, the auditor's duty is to express his opinion and not just disclose the information given by the management, hence not as per CARO. [It may start with According to information and explanation given to us....]

"All assets are not verified but there is a regular programme of verification. The same is reasonable. <u>Management has</u> <u>explained that no material</u> <u>discrepancies were noticed".</u>

"Programme of physical verification is reasonable, though all the assets are not verified. Management is in process of identifying discrepancies, if any, on such verification". CARO requires <u>that auditor should</u> <u>use his judgment</u> to determine that discrepancy is material or not. Duty has been casted on auditor to express his opinion. In the given case, auditor has relied on the management's explanation and has not used his judgment.

Comments are not as per requirement. It indicates lapse of the policy that physical verification of even those assets which were taken for verification is not complete. Inappropriate conclusion is made by auditor that company is having regular programme for verification. The company has maintained proper records of inventory. No material discrepancies were noticed on physical verification of inventory <u>except as recorded by</u> <u>excise department as per note...</u>

(a) The parties to whom loans have been given by the company <u>are</u> <u>repaying the principal amounts as</u> <u>stipulated and interest thereon</u> <u>wherever applicable.</u>

(b) In case of overdue amounts exceeding 1 Lakh reasonable steps have been taken by the company for recovery of principal amount & interest thereon and necessary provisions have been made wherever such amounts appear to be doubtful of recovery. CARO requires the auditor to comment on whether the discrepancies noticed have been adequately dealt with. <u>Here, the</u> auditor has only reported on discrepancies by referring to the note but he has omitted to comment on whether those discrepancies were properly dealt with in the Books of Accounts.

It is observed that the stated comments are providing contradictory information. If the parties are regular in repaying the principal as well as the interest then the question of overdue amounts does not arise. It has been noticed that the auditor has <u>reported only in context of</u> <u>'undisputed' statutory dues and is</u> <u>silent on 'disputed' statutory dues.</u> As per clause (vii)(b) of CARO, the auditor is required to report on specified statutory dues that have not been deposited on account of any dispute. In case there are no dues which have not been deposited on amount of dispute then the <u>auditor shall</u> <u>explicitly</u> <u>report it, rather being silent on it.</u> The company is regular in depositing undisputed statutory dues including provident fund, investor education and protection fund, employee state insurance, income tax, sales tax, wealth tax, custom duty, excise duty, cess and other statutory dues with the appropriate authorities. Late deposit, if any, has been attached in Form 3CD.

It is noted that whereas CARO requires 'disclosure of amount' which are in arrears for the period of more than 6 months, the auditor has simply reported the means, i.e. Form 3CD where such information is available. It was further viewed that Form 3CD is a part of Tax Audit Report and hence the requisite information for neither available in CARO report or in the F/S attached. Accordingly, the auditor has not complied with the reporting requirement.

Question

- RST Limited
- Gratuity is payable under Payment of Gratuity Act and Bonus is payable under Payment of Bonus Act
- The company has delayed in making such payments
- The Company has also delayed in transferring amounts to IEPF
- Whether such delay in payments of gratuity, bonus and IEPF is reportable under Para 3(vii) of CARO

- Caution:
- IEPF deposit delays are not reportable under CARO. They are reportable as per Rule 11 of Audit Rules on the face of audit report

Question: Whether the following reporting made in CARO is correct?

"According to the information and explanations given to us, no fraud on or by the company has been noticed or reported during the course of our audit";

www.collectmybook.co.in

Statutory Audit – a Ready Reckoner (with illustrative formats, letters, paras)

Qualifications and Other Comments in Audit Report (with illustrative paras)

Audit Trail (with illustrative reporting paras)

CARO, 2020 (with Commentary, Checklists and Specimen Reporting)

Standards on Auditing – a Ready Reckoner

Company Balance Sheet and Profit & Loss Account (with Schedule III commentary and formats)

Tax Audit (with illustrative paras and common mistakes)

Internal Auditing (with illustrative programmes)

